UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO –123)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,846 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7—day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Dec 05, 2019

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

John W. Nichols Clerk of the Panel

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

SCHEDULE CTO-123 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

DIST	DIV.	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	CASE CAPTION
ALABAMA MIDDLE			
ALM ALM ALM ALM	1 2 2 3	19-00886 19-00861 19-00862 19-00885	City of Headland, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al City of Brundidge, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al City of Eufaula, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al City of Lanett, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
ALABAMA NORTHERN			
ALN ALN	6 7	19-01852 19-01848	City of Haleyville, Alabama v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al City of Centerville, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
FLORIDA MIDDLE			
FLM	3	19-01301	Putnam County, Florida v. Endo Health Solutions Inc. et al
FLM	6	19-02167	The City of Ormond Beach, Florida v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
FLORIDA SOUTHERN			
FLS	0	19-62823	City of Coral Springs, Florida v. Endo Health Solutions Inc. et al
LOUISIANA MIDDLE			
LAM LAM	3 3	19-00794 19-00795	Hedrick v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al Edwards v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
LAM	3	19-00796	Jones et al v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation, et al
LAM	3	19-00797	Champagne v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation, et al
MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN			
MSN	3	19-00260	Greer v. Elliott et al

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 2965 Filed: 12/05/19 3 of 3. PageID #: 430362

OKLAHOMA WESTERN

OKW 5 19-01026 Apache Tribe of Oklahoma v. Purdue Pharma LP et al